

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,988		11/05/2001	Bernd Gottselig	200-0798 DBK	4154 ,7	
33481	7590	03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
DANIEL H			BORISSOV, IGOR N			
2075 WEST SUITE 600	BIG BEA	VER ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	48084			3629		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Δ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
Advisory Action	09/682,988	GOTTSELIG ET AL.	_/ /
	Examiner	Art Unit	\mathcal{A}^{-}
	Igor Borissov	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address f	•
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ı ued
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortilater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF To date on which the petition under 37 Clof extension and the corresponding arm the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the markets.	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MIFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate punt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a	extension extension action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newl	У
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•	I
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	.//	wel	
Claim(s) allowed:	.IOHOL	I G. WEISS	
Claim(s) objected to:	ଂସVISQRY	PATENT EXAMINER	
Claim(s) rejected:	ان ان.	CENTER 3600	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	*	
10.☐ Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's request was considered; however, examiner maintains his position. The inventive features the Applicant argues about were addressed on pages 2, 3 and 4 of the last Office action of 12/30/03.